Journal of International Islamic Law, Human Rights, and Public Policy
(JISHUP Journal) | ISSN(e): 3031-2280
Website https://jishup.org/index.php/ojs/index | Volume 3 No. 1 (2025)

Ecocritical Perspectives on Climate Anxiety and Environmental Justice in Contemporary English Fiction

Dr. Banpreet Kour, Associate Professor Department of English at Government Degree College Samba Jammu: banpreet.kour@yahoo.co.in

Received: 03/03/2025 | **Revised:** 08/03/2025 | **Accepted:** 20/03/2025 | **Published:** 03/04/2025

Abstract

This paper investigates how contemporary English-language fiction represents the psychological experience of climate anxiety and the political demands of environmental justice, and how these two concerns, though frequently discussed together, pull literary form in somewhat different directions. Drawing on the ecocritical tradition established by critics such as Lawrence Buell and expanded by Rob Nixon's account of slow violence, the paper argues that climate fiction faces a distinctive representational problem: the causes and consequences of environmental catastrophe are typically dispersed across decades, continents, and social classes in ways that resist the tight, character-centered causality on which the realist novel has traditionally relied. The paper examines the narrative strategies contemporary novelists have developed to address this problem, from multigenerational and multi-species narration to speculative and near-future settings, and considers how environmental justice fiction in particular insists on connecting ecological harm to preexisting patterns of racial and economic inequality. The paper concludes that the most successful climate fiction does not simply add environmental content to existing novelistic form, but reworks the novel's traditional assumptions about scale, agency, and time.

Keywords: Ecocriticism; Climate Anxiety; Environmental Justice; Contemporary English Fiction; Climate Fiction; Slow Violence; Environmental Humanities; Narrative Strategies.

Introduction

Climate change presents fiction with a problem it has rarely had to solve before: how does a novel, an art form built around the legible choices and consequences of individual characters across a manageable span of time, represent a crisis that unfolds across centuries, implicates the entire species, and resists any single identifiable villain? Amitav Ghosh posed a version of this question directly in his non-fiction study of climate change and the imagination, arguing that the modern novel's commitment to probability, individual psychology, and bounded settings has left it poorly equipped to address a phenomenon defined precisely by improbable extremes, collective agency, and planetary scale. Ghosh went so far as to suggest that serious literary fiction's relative silence on climate change, at least until fairly recently, was not an accident but a structural consequence of what the novel as a form had trained itself to do well.

The past two decades have seen English-language fiction respond to this challenge with increasing confidence and formal inventiveness. What began as a somewhat marginalized subgenre often labeled cli-fi and associated primarily with science fiction and young adult dystopia has moved steadily into the center of literary fiction, attracting writers not previously associated with genre or speculative work and winning major literary prizes. This paper examines that body of work along two related but distinct axes. The first is climate anxiety: the psychological and affective dimension of living with the knowledge of ongoing and worsening ecological catastrophe, and the particular kind of grief, dread, and diminished sense of futurity this knowledge produces. The second is environmental justice: the recognition that ecological harm is never distributed evenly, but tends to fall hardest on communities already marginalized by race, class, and colonial history, and the demand that literature reckon with this distribution rather than treating climate change as a uniformly shared human predicament.

These two concerns are often treated as a single unified topic in discussions of climate fiction, and there is good reason for that: a novel about environmental justice will almost always also register the anxiety produced by ecological threat, and a novel focused on personal climate anxiety usually gestures, at least implicitly, toward the unequal social conditions that shape who experiences that anxiety as an abstract dread and who experiences it as a lived, immediate emergency. But the two concerns also pull literary form in somewhat different directions, as this paper will argue: climate anxiety tends to produce introspective, often first-person narratives concerned with psychological interiority, while environmental justice fiction tends to require a wider social canvas and a more explicitly political narrative structure. Holding the two apart analytically, even while acknowledging their constant overlap in practice, makes it easier to see what each demands of the writers working in this area.

The Ecocritical Tradition and Its Contemporary Extensions

Ecocriticism as an organized field of literary study emerged in the 1990s, building on earlier traditions of nature writing criticism but reframing the central question as an explicitly environmental one: how does literature represent the relationship between human beings and the non-human world, and what assumptions about that relationship does a given text either reinforce or challenge? Lawrence Buell's early work in the field proposed a set of criteria for what he called environmentally oriented writing, insisting that genuinely ecocentric fiction needed to represent the non-human environment as more than a passive backdrop for human drama, and to acknowledge some sense of human accountability for environmental damage as part of the text's ethical framework.

Rob Nixon's concept of slow violence, developed in the early 2010s, has proven especially influential for the current generation of climate fiction writers because it names precisely the representational difficulty climate change poses. Nixon used the term to describe forms of violence that occur gradually and cumulatively, dispersed across time and space in ways that make them largely invisible to conventional media and narrative attention, which tends to favor sudden, spectacular, and clearly attributable events. Slow violence, on Nixon's account, includes exactly the kind of environmental harm caused by climate change, industrial pollution, and resource extraction: harm whose worst effects may not appear for decades, whose causes are diffuse and structural rather than individual and intentional, and whose victims are disproportionately poor and rurally located, and therefore easy for wealthier, more urban societies to overlook.

Timothy Morton's writing on what he termed hyperobjects offers a related conceptual tool. Morton described climate change as a hyperobject: a phenomenon so massively distributed in time and space that it exceeds any individual human being's capacity to perceive it directly, even though its local effects — a flood, a heat wave, a failed harvest — are entirely perceptible. A hyperobject can only ever be grasped indirectly, through its local manifestations and through the abstractions of science, which creates a persistent gap between the scale at which climate change actually operates and the scale at which any individual human life, and any individual novel, can represent experience. Much of the formal inventiveness in contemporary climate fiction can be understood as an attempt to narrow that gap.

Representing Climate Anxiety: Form, Time, and Psychological Interiority

Climate anxiety, as a lived psychological experience, has a distinctive temporal structure that contemporary fiction has had to find new formal resources to represent. Unlike more conventional literary anxieties — about mortality, about a relationship, about a specific looming event — climate anxiety attaches itself to a future that is simultaneously certain in its broad outline and radically uncertain in its specific timeline and severity. This produces what several contemporary novelists have described, in interviews and essays, as a peculiar flattening or foreshortening of imagined futurity: a difficulty picturing oneself, or one's children, living a normal human life span in a stable world, without that picture being interrupted by projected catastrophe.

Novels concerned with this experience frequently adopt a near-future setting, close enough to the present that the world remains recognizable but far enough forward that specific ecological consequences rising seas, extreme heat, mass displacement have become unmistakable features of daily life rather than distant projections. This near-future setting allows writers to represent climate anxiety not as a special or unusual psychological state but as an ordinary, almost banal background condition of contemporary life, woven into characters' decisions about whether to have children, where to live, and how to plan for a future that no longer offers the implicit guarantee of stability earlier generations could reasonably assume.

A second formal strategy involves disrupting linear chronology to represent the way climate anxiety collapses the ordinary distinction between present experience and future dread. Narratives that move fluidly between a character's

present-tense daily life and vividly imagined future scenarios — sometimes marked as fantasy or nightmare, sometimes left ambiguous — formally enact the way climate anxiety refuses to stay contained in an imagined future and instead infiltrates present-tense experience, altering how characters relate to ordinary pleasures and plans. Richard Powers's fiction, particularly his novel centered on trees and the deep time of forest ecosystems, extends this strategy further by juxtaposing human characters' relatively brief lifespans against the radically different temporal scale on which trees and forests exist, using that juxtaposition to defamiliarize the reader's assumptions about which timescales matter and which forms of agency count as significant.

It is worth noting that climate anxiety fiction faces its own version of the representational risk discussed throughout ecocritical scholarship: a narrative too focused on an individual character's psychological distress about climate change risks reproducing exactly the individualist, interiority-centered structure that critics like Ghosh have argued the novel needs to move beyond in order to adequately represent a collective, structural crisis. The strongest work in this mode tends to counter that risk by keeping the character's private anxiety visibly connected to a wider social and political context, rather than treating climate dread as a purely personal affliction to be worked through in isolation from its structural causes.

Environmental Justice and the Politics of Unequal Harm

If climate anxiety fiction tends toward psychological interiority, environmental justice fiction tends toward a wider social and historical canvas, because its central argument is precisely that ecological harm cannot be understood or represented as a uniformly shared human experience. The environmental justice movement, which emerged from grassroots organizing in the United States in the 1980s before developing into an academic field and, subsequently, a significant strand within ecocritical literary studies, insists that the communities suffering the worst environmental harm are disproportionately poor, disproportionately non-white, and disproportionately located in places former colonies, industrial sacrifice zones, low-lying coastal regions inhabited by Indigenous and other marginalized populations that have historically had the least political power to resist the siting of polluting industry or the withdrawal of protective infrastructure.

Contemporary environmental justice fiction takes up this argument by insisting on specificity: rather than depicting climate change as an abstract planetary threat, these novels typically anchor their narratives in a particular community with a particular history of environmental harm, tracing the connections between that harm and earlier histories of colonization, industrial exploitation, or racial segregation. A novel set in a community built on land its residents were forced onto generations earlier, now threatened by rising water or industrial contamination, is not simply telling a story about climate change; it is telling a story about how climate change extends and intensifies harms that were already unequally distributed well before global warming became a matter of public concern.

This specificity carries formal consequences. Environmental justice fiction tends to resist the single-protagonist structure common in more psychologically focused climate anxiety fiction, favoring instead ensemble casts, multigenerational timelines, and narrative structures that explicitly link present-day environmental harm to documented historical events a toxic spill, a forced relocation, a broken treaty. This linkage is essential to the genre's political argument: without it, environmental harm can be too easily narrated as a natural disaster, an act of impersonal nature rather than a consequence of specific, traceable human decisions about where to place risk and whose land and labor to treat as expendable.

Barbara Kingsolver's fiction offers a useful example of how environmental justice concerns can be woven into a narrative that remains attentive to individual character while insisting on structural context, tracing the way agricultural policy, corporate consolidation, and long-term ecological change bear down unevenly on rural communities with limited resources to adapt. Fiction working in this vein tends to resist offering readers a comfortable position of pure spectatorship, instead implicating the reader's own economic and geographic position within the systems of unequal risk the novel describes an implication that separates environmental justice fiction from disaster narratives that allow readers to experience catastrophe as spectacle without examining their own relationship to its causes.

Water, Drought, and the Geography of Attention

A recurring pattern across environmental justice fiction concerns the specific geography of which environmental crises receive sustained literary attention and which remain comparatively underexplored. Flooding, coastal erosion, and the submersion of low-lying cities have generated a substantial and growing body of fiction, in part because rising seas

offer a visually dramatic and narratively legible form of climate harm well suited to fiction's traditional interest in concrete, image-rich settings. Drought and water scarcity, by contrast, unfold more gradually and less photogenically, and have correspondingly generated a smaller though still significant body of fiction, often set in agricultural regions of the American West and elsewhere, where water rights and irrigation policy intersect with long histories of Indigenous dispossession and unequal land distribution.

This unevenness in literary attention is itself a subject some contemporary writers and critics have begun to interrogate directly, asking what it means that certain forms of environmental harm — the kind that produce arresting single images, like a submerged skyline — attract disproportionate fictional treatment relative to their actual human toll, while slower, less visually dramatic crises struggle to secure the same degree of narrative investment. This asymmetry echoes, at the level of literary production, the very dynamic of slow violence that Nixon identified at the level of media and political attention more broadly, suggesting that fiction is not automatically exempt from the representational biases it often sets out to critique, and that ecocritical analysis needs to remain attentive to what contemporary climate fiction is not yet adequately depicting, alongside what it has already taken up.

Multi-Species Narration and the Limits of Human-Centered Storytelling

One of the more formally adventurous developments in contemporary environmental fiction is the attempt to represent non-human perspectives and non-human timescales directly, rather than filtering the natural world entirely through human characters' observation and interpretation. This development responds to a critique, common across ecocritical scholarship since Buell's foundational work, that even sympathetic environmental fiction often remains implicitly anthropocentric, treating the non-human world as a stage or a set of symbols for human meaning-making rather than granting it any independent narrative weight.

Contemporary novelists have experimented with several strategies to address this critique. Some incorporate passages narrated from, or deeply inflected by, a non-human point of view — a forest, a river system, an animal population without fully abandoning human characters as the narrative's primary anchor. Others structure entire sections of a novel around non-human temporal scales, as in fiction that intercuts human plotlines with passages tracking ecological processes unfolding across centuries, forcing the reader to hold radically different timescales in mind simultaneously. These strategies inevitably run up against a genuine philosophical limit: a human author writing in a human language for a human readership cannot straightforwardly access or represent a genuinely non-human consciousness, and any attempt to do so risks anthropomorphizing the non-human subject it claims to be decentering.

The more modest and, arguably, more successful version of this project does not claim to fully represent non-human consciousness but instead uses formal disruption to make the limits of human-centered narration visible to the reader — interrupting a human plotline with passages that refuse to resolve back into human meaning, or withholding the kind of narrative closure that would allow a reader to file the non-human material away as merely symbolic of human concerns. This more modest ambition, several contemporary ecocritics have argued, is itself the more honest project: not the impossible task of speaking for the non-human world, but the achievable task of unsettling the assumption that human concerns are automatically the most important thing happening in any given landscape or ecosystem.

Speculative and Near-Future Settings as Ecocritical Method

The turn toward speculative and near-future settings in contemporary climate fiction deserves attention as a deliberate ecocritical method rather than simply a genre preference. Speculative fiction has an established capacity for what critics sometimes call cognitive estrangement — the technique of representing a recognizable world altered in some significant way, which allows readers to see familiar arrangements, including environmentally destructive ones, freshly, as historically contingent choices rather than natural or inevitable conditions. When a near-future novel depicts a familiar city partially submerged, or agricultural regions rendered unfarmable, it uses estrangement to make visible a process gradual environmental degradation that in ordinary, incremental experience can be almost impossible to perceive directly, precisely because of the slow violence dynamic Nixon identified.

This method carries its own risks, which contemporary writers and critics have debated actively. A dramatic, spectacular near-future disaster scenario can inadvertently reproduce the very representational problem slow violence names, by making climate catastrophe newly visible only in its most extreme, cinematic form, while leaving the actual lived experience of gradual, cumulative environmental harm the kind already affecting frontline communities in the present, not a speculative future comparatively invisible. Some of the strongest recent climate fiction has responded to

this risk by setting its speculative elements only slightly ahead of the present, or by grounding speculative extrapolation firmly in documented present-day environmental injustice, so that the speculative future reads not as a dramatic break from the present but as its direct, traceable extension.

Genre Boundaries: Cli-Fi's Relationship to Science Fiction and Literary Fiction

The label climate fiction, or cli-fi, arose largely within and around the science fiction community, and it is worth pausing on why that origin matters for how the genre has developed. Science fiction has always had a stronger institutional tolerance for speculative premises, non-realist devices, and explicit didacticism than literary fiction traditionally has, which made it a natural early home for writers wanting to extrapolate directly from climate science into narrative. This origin gave early cli-fi a head start on the technical vocabulary and speculative confidence needed to depict altered future worlds credibly, but it also meant the subgenre initially carried some of the marks of genre fiction that mainstream literary criticism has historically undervalued: plot-forward pacing, a greater tolerance for exposition, and less emphasis on the kind of sentence-level stylistic ambition that literary prize culture tends to reward.

The migration of climate themes into literary fiction proper over the past decade has therefore involved a certain amount of genre negotiation. Writers with literary reputations built on psychologically dense, stylistically ambitious realist fiction have brought that same sensibility to climate material, producing novels that retain speculative or near-future premises while prioritizing interiority, ambiguity, and formal restraint over the plot-forward clarity more typical of earlier cli-fi. This has, in turn, put pressure on literary institutions to expand their sense of what counts as serious fiction, since a novel that depicts a partially flooded near-future city cannot easily be dismissed as merely generic once it is doing the same kind of sentence-level and structural work that literary criticism already knows how to value in a realist novel. The traffic has not been entirely one-directional, either: literary fiction's absorption of climate themes has also lent the broader climate fiction category increased cultural legitimacy, helping move environmental storytelling out of a specialized readership and into the center of general public conversation about books.

Conclusion

Contemporary English-language fiction has developed a substantial and formally varied toolkit for representing both the psychological texture of climate anxiety and the structural, unevenly distributed harms at the center of environmental justice. These two concerns, while frequently overlapping in practice, pull literary form in different directions: climate anxiety fiction tends toward psychological interiority, foreshortened futurity, and disrupted personal chronology, while environmental justice fiction tends toward wider social canvases, ensemble narration, and explicit historical linkage between present ecological harm and earlier patterns of exploitation. Both bodies of work share a common formal challenge, described most influentially by Ghosh, Nixon, and Morton: how to build narrative structures adequate to a crisis that exceeds the individual, bounded, clearly causal storytelling the conventional novel was built to deliver.

The most significant achievement of the past two decades of climate fiction is not simply thematic — the fact that more novels now address environmental catastrophe directly — but formal: a genuine, ongoing experimentation with scale, time, and narrative agency that has expanded what the novel as a form is capable of representing. This experimentation remains unfinished, and the tension this paper has traced between introspective climate anxiety narratives and structurally focused environmental justice narratives is unlikely to resolve into a single dominant mode; if anything, the most promising direction for future fiction in this area lies in work that holds both registers together without collapsing one into the other, treating personal ecological grief and structural environmental injustice as two necessary and mutually illuminating dimensions of the same crisis.

There is also a pedagogical dimension to this body of work worth noting briefly. As climate fiction has moved further into mainstream literary culture and, increasingly, into secondary and university curricula, it has begun to function for many readers as one of the primary imaginative resources available for thinking through a crisis that remains, for most people, largely abstract in direct lived experience. This gives the genre a cultural responsibility somewhat unusual for literary fiction, which has historically been permitted to treat didactic clarity as a lesser aesthetic value. Climate fiction cannot fully escape this responsibility without risking irrelevance to the crisis it addresses, nor can it discharge that responsibility through didacticism alone without sacrificing the formal ambition that separates it from more straightforwardly instructive writing. Navigating that tension productively, rather than resolving it in either direction, may well be the central ongoing task facing writers in this field over the coming decade.

References

- Buell, Lawrence. The Environmental Imagination: Thoreau, Nature Writing, and the Formation of American Culture. Harvard University Press, 1995.
- Ghosh, Amitav. The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
- Kingsolver, Barbara. Flight Behavior. Harper, 2012.
- Morton, Timothy. Hyperobjects: Philosophy and Ecology after the End of the World. University of Minnesota Press, 2013.
- Nixon, Rob. Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor. Harvard University Press, 2011.
- Powers, Richard. The Overstory. W. W. Norton & Company, 2018.
- Robinson, Kim Stanley. The Ministry for the Future. Orbit, 2020.
- Trexler, Adam. Anthropocene Fictions: The Novel in a Time of Climate Change. University of Virginia Press, 2015.